

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,923	08/27/2003	Anthony Dezonno	6065-86942	4105
24628 WEISH & ΚΔ	7590 01/24/200 TZ I TD	8	EXAMINER	
WELSH & KATZ, LTD 120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA			HA, DAC V	
22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606		÷	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• • /
$\mathcal{A}$
O <sup>y</sup>

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/648,923	DEZONNO, ANTHONY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dac V. Ha	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mit tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. The reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	his action is non-final.  vance except for formal ma		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	of Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number:

10/648,923 Art Unit: 2611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 12/05/07.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gregorek et al. (US 5,557,658) (hereafter Gregorek) in view of He (US 5,642,407).

Regarding claim 18, Gregogrek discloses all claimed subject matter "means for suppressing at least one audio tone notifying a user of a receiving communications device that a connection is in the process of being established; and means for substituting information unrelated to the at least one suppressed audio tone to a user of the receiving communications device while the connection is in the process of being established" in Abstract; col. 2, line 62 to col. 3, line 12.

Gregogrek differs from the claimed invention in that it doesn't teach the claimed subject matter "wherein the information is automatically configured based upon a profiled the user generated by monitoring the user's use of the communication device". However, in the same field of endeavor, He teaches such claimed subject matter in col. 1, lines 51-59; col. 3, lines 26-56; col. 4, line 46 to col. 8, line 12. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

Application/Control Number:

10/648,923 Art Unit: 2611

incorporate the teaching of automatically presenting advertisement to caller according to caller's "profile" into Gregorek to further optimize the efficiency of the advertisement.

Regarding claim 1, see claim 18 above. Further, He further suggests the teaching of the claimed subject matter "the information is downloaded during a completed communication immediately preceding the connection being established" in col. 3, line 26 to col. 6, line 58. That is, He stores records in the predicate table. These records relates to particular caller and are loaded during a connection is being established. Therefore, it would have been easily realized by one skilled in the art that those records could have included one "during a completed communication immediately preceding the connection being established".

Regarding claim 34, see claim 18 and similar analogy with that in claim 1 applies.

Regarding claims 30, 17, 35, see claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 19, 2, 36, Gregogrek further discloses "the information includes advertisements, music, movie clips, news headlines, sports scores, stock quotes, weather, time of day, calendar reminders, horoscopes, messages, and inspirational sayings" in col. 12, lines 17-27.

Regarding claims 20, 3, 37, Gregogrek further discloses "the information is conveyed in audio, visual, or audio-visual format to the user" in col. 12, lines 17-27.

Regarding claims 21, 4, 38, He further discloses "wherein the information comprises verbal messages describing the connection process" in Table 1.

Application/Control Number:

10/648,923 Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 22, 5, 39, He further discloses "wherein the information ... display associated with the at least one communication device" in col. 6, lines 14-16.

Regarding claims 23-29, 31-33, 6-16, 40-50, these claimed subject matter would have been easily realized by one skilled in the art at the time of the invention as preference/application specific based on the aforementioned combination. That is, in the aforementioned combination, while establishing a connection, action is executed from an action list in relation to the caller attributes. He lists some of the action that could be taken in Table 1, however, there actions are merely examples, one skilled in the art would have easily realized that any other appropriate actions could have been included, depending each particular situation, so as to maximize the revenue generation during the time the connection is being established.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/05/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. (see explanation in the rejection above).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dac V. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-3040. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Payne can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/648,923 Art Unit: 2611 Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dac V. Ha Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611